



इंस्टीट्यूट ऑफ बैंकिंग पर्सोनेल सिलेक्शन®

(भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक, केंद्रीय वित्तीय संस्थाओं व सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र के बैंकों द्वारा स्थापित एक स्वायत्त संस्था)

**INSTITUTE OF BANKING PERSONNEL SELECTION**

(An autonomous body set up by Reserve Bank of India, Central Financial Institutions and Public Sector Banks)

असेसमेंट में, हम पर भरोसा रखता है भारत

In assessment, India trusts us



वेबसाइट देखने हेतु स्कैन करें  
Scan to visit Website

## Equipercetile Equating for Multi-session Objective Type Examinations conducted by IBPS

### 1. Introduction

For any examination, if number of candidates exceeds the total available capacity of computer nodes available at a centre, the examination is conducted in multiple sessions in a day or across multiple days for a particular post. The candidates are thus administered different sets of questions in each session.

Equipercetile equating is a statistical method used in psychometrics to ensure score comparability across different test forms. This method plays a crucial role in maintaining fairness in candidate evaluation across multiple test sessions. Given the necessity of using different test forms due to security concerns and large applicant volumes, equipercetile equating ensures that no candidate is disadvantaged in case of any unintended variations in test difficulty.

### 2. Definition and Purpose

Equipercetile equating aligns scores from different test forms by ensuring that scores corresponding to the same percentile rank in one test match the equivalent percentile rank in another test. This method ensures fairness in ranking candidates despite different test forms being used. The approach follows international testing standards, ensuring compliance with principles of equity and fairness.

### 3. Statistical Formulation

The core principle of equipercetile equating relies on cumulative distribution functions (CDFs). Let:

- X be the raw scores from Test Form A
- Y be the raw scores from Test Form B
- $F_X(x)$  and  $F_Y(y)$  represent the cumulative distribution functions of X and Y, respectively.

The equipercetile equivalent score  $Y_e$  for a given score X is determined by:

$$Y_e = F_Y^{-1}(F_X(X))$$

where  $F_Y^{-1}$  is the inverse of the cumulative distribution function of Test Form B. This equation ensures that the score X and its equivalent  $Y_e$  represent the same percentile rank in their respective distributions, ensuring fair candidate comparisons.

### 4. Methodology in IBPS Equating:

The process of equipercetile equating in IBPS involves:

1. **Computing Score Distributions:** The empirical cumulative distributions of different test forms are estimated based on recruitment data.
2. **Pre-Smoothing Score Distributions:** Log-linear models are used to smooth score distributions before equating, reducing statistical noise and ensuring stable estimates.
3. **Selecting the Base Test Session:** One of the test sessions as the base for equating, based on the statistical properties of its score distribution, ensuring it serves as a stable reference.
4. **Matching Percentile Ranks:** Each score in a given test form is mapped to its corresponding percentile rank.
5. **Determining Equivalent Scores:** The score from an alternate test form that shares the same percentile rank in the base session is identified as the equivalent score.



6. **Validation and Implementation:** The equated scores are evaluated for consistency, and statistical checks are performed to ensure the method maintains fairness and validity in candidate ranking. Peculiarities of the distribution are also evaluated and taken into account during the equating process.

5. **Example: How Scores Change After Equating**

Consider two test forms, A and B, with different score distributions:

Raw Score (A)	Percentile Rank	Equated Score (B)
40	70th percentile	42
50	85th percentile	51
60	95th percentile	59

If a candidate scores 50 on Test A, their percentile rank is 85. Using equipercentile equating, we find the score in Test B that corresponds to the 85th percentile, which is 51. This ensures that the difficulty variations between test forms do not unfairly impact candidate ranking. In cases where there is no corresponding exactly matching percentile, the usual way to determine this score is by interpolation.

6. **Assumptions and Validity**

- **Population Comparability:** The candidate groups across different test sessions must be similar in ability distribution, a requirement IBPS ensures through randomised distribution and standardized test administration protocols.
- **Construct Equivalence:** The different test forms must measure the same competencies required for the recruitment process.

7. **Practical Justification**

Equipercentile equating is a standardized methodology recognized as essential for ensuring non-discriminatory selection processes. Furthermore, alternative equating methods, such as linear equating, do not account for distributional differences as effectively as equipercentile equating.

8. **Empirical Evidence**

There are extensive analyses demonstrating that equipercentile equating maintains score fairness. Comparative studies of raw versus equated scores have confirmed that this method successfully normalizes differences across test sessions. This statistical approach ensures that all candidates are assessed equitably, strengthening the reliability of the process.

9. **Conclusion**

As a recognized statistical psychometric technique, equipercentile equating is essential for maintaining fairness in examinations conducted in multiple sessions as its application ensures that candidates across different test sessions are evaluated equitably.

\*\*\*\*\*

